

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,124	10/25/2001	Tetsujiro Kondo	450101-03023	8093
20999 7590 06/12/2088 FROMMER LAWENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			EXAMINER	
			DESIR, JEAN WICEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/019 124 KONDO ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jean W. Désir -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13.15-33.35-42.45-52 and 54-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 14,34,43,44 and 53 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2622

### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-13, 17-33, 37-42, 45-52, 56-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo et al (US 6.323,905).

The applied reference has a common Inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

### Claim 1:

Kondo discloses:

"improvement information generating means for generating improvement information for improving quality of data", see Fig. 1 items 2-5, the information generated by items 2-5 through learning process that will increase or improve the

Art Unit: 2622

quality of picture data are considered as improvement information as claimed, see also col. 1 lines 10-11. col. 9 lines 27-56:

"and embedding means for embedding the improvement information into the data", see Fig. 1 items 5, 6 which are constructed to embed the improvement information into the data (picture data) as claimed, see also col. 9 line 57 to col. 10 line 26.

Claims 2, 3 are disclosed, see col. 9 lines 27-56, Fig. 6.

Claim 4 is disclosed, see col. 12 lines 22-61, Fig. 6.

Claims 5-7 are disclosed, see col. 12 lines 47-61, col. 14 lines 55-67, col. 15 lines 42-67.

Claim 8 is disclosed, see col. 14 lines 32-65.

Claim 9 is disclosed, see col. 12 lines 47-61, Fig. 6.

Claims 10, 11 are disclosed, see col. 9 lines 27-56, Fig. 6.

Claims 12, 13 are disclosed, see col. 13 lines 20-62, col. 15 line 42 to col. 16 line 7,

Figs. 6, 8.

Claim 17 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 7-11.

Claims 18-20 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

### Claim 21:

Kondo discloses:

A data processing device (see Fig. 1) for processing embedded data obtained by embedding improvement information for improving quality of data into the data, the device comprising:

Art Unit: 2622

"extracting means for extracting the improvement information from the embedded data", see Fig. 1 items 2-5 and the feedback that includes item 7;

"and improving means for improving the quality of the data by using the improvement information", see Fig. 1 items 5, 6, col. 11 line 50 to col. 12 line 7.

Claim 22 is disclosed, see col. 9 line 57 to col. 10 line 26.

Claim 23 is disclosed, see col. 9 lines 38-67.

Claim 24 is disclosed, see col. 12 lines 22-61, Fig. 6, col. 11 line 50 to col. 12 line 7.

Claims 25, 26 are disclosed, see col. 13 lines 12-62.

Claims 27-29 are disclosed, see col. 9 line 38 to col. 10 line 26, col. 12 lines 47-61, col.

Claim 30 is disclosed, see col. 14 lines 32-65.

Claim 31 is disclosed, see col. 12 lines 47-61, Fig. 6.

Claims 32, 33 are disclosed, see col. 9 lines 27-56, Fig. 6.

Claim 37 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 7-11.

Claims 38-40 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 21.

### Claim 41:

### Kondo discloses:

15 lines 42-67.

"improvement information generating means for generating a plurality of types of improvement information for improving quality of data", see Fig. 1 items 2-5, the information generated by items 2-5 through learning process that will increase or improve the quality of picture data are considered as a plurality of types of improvement information as claimed, see also col. 1 lines 10-11, col. 9 lines 27-56:

Art Unit: 2622

"and transmitting means for transmitting the data and one or more types of improvement information", see Fig. 1 items 1, 5, transmit the data and one or more types of improvement information as claimed.

Claim 42 is disclosed, see col. 9 line 38 to col. 10 line 26.

Claims 45-47 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 2-4.

Claims 48-52 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 6-10.

Claim 56 is disclosed, see Fig. 1 items 1, 5.

Claim 57 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 7-11.

Claims 58-60 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 41.

 Claims 1, 15-21, 35-42, 54-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeJean et al (US 5,243,423).

### Claim 1:

## DeJean discloses:

"improvement information generating means for generating improvement information for improving quality of data", see col. 1 lines 40-47, col. 2 lines 50-62;

"and embedding means for embedding the improvement information into the data", see col. 1 lines 43-49, col. 2 lines 16-27.

Claim 15 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 5-26.

Claim 16 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 47-59.

Claim 17 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 40-49.

Claims 18-20 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,124 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

## Claim 21:

# DeJean discloses:

A data processing device for processing embedded data obtained by embedding improvement information for improving quality of data into the data (col. 1 lines 40-49, col. 2 lines 50-62), the device comprising:

"extracting means for extracting the improvement information from the embedded data", see col. 5 lines 5-36;

"and improving means for improving the quality of the data by using the improvement information", see col. 1 lines 40-49, col. 4 lines 45-62.

Claim 35 is disclosed, see col. 5 lines 5-21.

Claim 36 is disclosed, see col. 5 lines 22-36, col. 6 line 55 to col. 7 line 17.

Claim 37 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 40-49.

Claims 38-40 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 21.

### Claim 41:

## DeJean discloses:

"improvement information generating means for generating a plurality of types of improvement information for improving quality of data", see col. 1 lines 40-47, col. 4 lines 45-62:

"and transmitting means for transmitting the data and one or more types of improvement information", see col. 4 lines 3-26, col. 1 lines 40-49, col. 2 lines 47-62. Claim 42 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 45-62, col. 1 lines 40-47.

Claim 54 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 5-26.

Art Unit: 2622

Claim 55 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 47-62.

Claim 56 is disclosed, see col. 4 lines 3-26, col. 1 lines 40-49.

Claim 57 is disclosed, see col. 1 lines 40-49.

Claims 58-60 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 41.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title

Claims 20, 40, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recite "the program comprising: ...", and conversely do not recite -a computer readable medium storing the computer program-. i.e. some form of tangible medium; therefore, the above claims are directed to a computer program per se and are non-statutory.

## Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-60) in the reply filed on 3/5/08 is acknowledged.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14, 34, 43, 44, 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Art Unit: 2622

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (571) 272 7344. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272 7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David L. Ometz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622

JWD 6-6-08